

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: MAY 19, 1998

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA

COMMITTEE VOTE: 9 IN FAVOR 0 OPPOSED 0 ABSTAINED 1 RECUSED
BOARD VOTE: 28 IN FAVOR 0 OPPOSED 2 ABSTAINED

RE: **Gloria's at 107 West Broadway, application for sidewalk cafe**

WHEREAS: CB#1 has received an application from Gloria's restaurant to operate a sidewalk cafe, and

WHEREAS: The applicant appeared before the committee and there were no complaints on file at the CB #1 office and no individuals spoke in opposition, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED
THAT:

Community Board #1 recommends that the Department of Consumer Affairs approve the application by Gloria's to operate a sidewalk cafe at 107 Broadway provided that outdoor seating is limited to a total of 19 tables and 38 chairs; consolidation of tables would accommodate at least a 6' passage from the sidewalk cafe to the subway grating. Hours of operation for the outside cafe shall be from 12 PM to 11 PM 7 days a week or 12 PM to 11 PM weekdays and 12pm to 12 am weekends; all outdoor tables are removed by 12 PM each night, and the doors to the restaurant are kept closed after hours; management will cooperate with the residents with regard to noise, public safety and sanitation and the CB #1 shall re-examine its recommendation for approval if problems arise.

98.res.may.98

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: MAY 19, 1998

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA

COMMITTEE VOTE: 6 IN FAVOR 2 OPPOSED 1 ABSTAINED 1 RECUSED
BOARD VOTE: 11 IN FAVOR 8 OPPOSED 7 ABSTAINED

RE: **Application for a sidewalk cafe for Radiante at 131 Duane Street**

WHEREAS: CB#1 has received an application from Radiante at 131 Duane Street to operate a sidewalk cafe, and

WHEREAS: The applicant appeared before the committee and two individuals spoke in opposition, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 recommends that the Department of Consumer Affairs approve the application of Radiante for a one-year revocable consent to operate an unenclosed sidewalk cafe at 131 Duane Street, provided that outdoor seating is limited to a total of 10 tables and 20 chairs, all on the raised vault area; the outdoor café stops serving no later than 10 PM on weekdays and 11 PM on weekends (Friday and Saturday nights) and that the management cooperate with the residents with regard to noise , public safety and sanitation and that CB #1 shall re-examine its recommendation for approval if problems arise.

98.res.may.98

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: MAY 19, 1998

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA

COMMITTEE VOTE:	10 IN FAVOR	0 OPPOSED	0 ABSTAINED
BOARD VOTE:	28 IN FAVOR	0 OPPOSED	2 ABSTAINED

RE: **Nucifero at 157 Hudson St., tavern/wine license application**

WHEREAS: 157 Hudson Street has been the site of many problems besetting our community in the past, and

WHEREAS: This Board has passed three previous resolutions (April 1995, July 1995 and January 1998) all opposed to night club operations at this site, and

WHEREAS: The applicant is applying for a tavern/wine license, and

WHEREAS: This establishment had its tavern/wine and license suspended for over a year due to illegal activities at the club, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 is opposed to the granting of a tavern/wine license to the applicant.

98.res.may.98

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: MAY 19, 1998

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: SEAPORT/CIVIC CENTER

COMMITTEE VOTE: 6 IN FAVOR 0 OPPOSED 0 ABSTAINED
BOARD VOTE: 25 IN FAVOR 0 OPPOSED 0 ABSTAINED

RE: **Jerry Driscoll Walk**

WHEREAS: Jerimiah Timothy Driscoll had a long and dedicated career along NYC's waterfront, and

WHEREAS: His career on the waterways began in 1928 at the age of 19 when he arrived in New York to begin his career as a deck hand, and

WHEREAS: Mr. Driscoll was an original partner in the formation of Sightseeing Yachts Circle Line in 1945 and for over 60 years piloted tour boats, fishing boats, commuter boats, tug boats and fuel delivery boats, and

WHEREAS: Throughout his long career he was a man of fierce integrity, dedicated to the fair and open use of NY Harbor's waterfront, and

WHEREAS: Mr. Driscoll, who passed away in 1994, deserves recognition for his contribution to New York's waterfront, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED
THAT: Community Board #1 recommends that the Peck Slip portion of the East River Bikeway/Walkway be re-named Jerry Driscoll Walk and that a plaque in his memory be installed at this location.

98.res.may.98

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: MAY 19, 1998

**COMMITTEES OF ORIGIN: ARTS, URBAN PLANNING & DESIGN
AND FINANCIAL DISTRICT**

COMMITTEE VOTE:	10 IN FAVOR	0 OPPOSED	0 ABSTAINED
BOARD VOTE:	25 IN FAVOR	0 OPPOSED	0 ABSTAINED

RE: **Whitehall Ferry Terminal, design refinements**

WHEREAS: The Economic Development Corp. and their consultants were asked to return to the CB #1 in the December 19, 1997 resolution to:

- 1) Verify the 190' distance requested by the Community Board between the bus area and the Battery Park playground;
- 2) Revise the signage on the terminal which had read "Staten Island" in large neon letters, and

WHEREAS: EDC explained and verified the distance between the playground and bus area and indicated that it varied depending upon the number of buses, and

WHEREAS: The committee found, upon reexamining the proposal, that the distance between the buses and the terminal is acceptable, and

WHEREAS: The revised signage is now 15' high metal letters saying "Staten Island Ferry", now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED
THAT:

CB #1 finds the revised Whitehall Ferry Terminal design to be acceptable, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED
THAT:

CB #1 wants to thank the EDC and their architects for responding to and addressing the concerns of the Community Board.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: MAY 19, 1998

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: SEAPORT/CIVIC CENTER

COMMITTEE VOTE: 6 IN FAVOR 0 OPPOSED 0 ABSTAINED

BOARD VOTE: 25 IN FAVOR 0 OPPOSED 0 ABSTAINED

RE: **Paris Cafe at 119 South Street**

BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 approves the application by the Paris Cafe at 119 South Street for a 16 table, 48 seat sidewalk cafe.

98.res.may.98

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: MAY 19, 1998

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS

COMMITTEE VOTE: 3 IN FAVOR 0 OPPOSED 0 ABSTAINED
BOARD VOTE: 28 IN FAVOR 0 OPPOSED 1 ABSTAINED

- RE: **142 Duane St., application for a new storefront and roof top addition with residential conversion**
- WHEREAS: The building's facades are currently in dilapidated condition, and
- WHEREAS: The committee found the intentions presented to carefully restore and rehabilitate the front facade and storefront to be highly commendable and fully supports the proposed work, and
- WHEREAS: The committee felt that the rooftop extension would be highly visible both from the street and from neighboring lofts overwhelming the surrounding five story buildings, and
- WHEREAS: The committee felt that the bland massing and materials selected, detracted from the individual scale of the districts building's and resulted in a larger, overburdening apparent mass, and
- WHEREAS: The committee felt that, as part of the building was in the LMM, and adjacent to many existing 5 story buildings, the LPC should carefully review the addition of any bulk greater than FAR 5.0 (as per the LMM) and link both the modification of bulk and any waiver of the rear yard height and set back requirements to the appropriate distribution of such bulk on the roof, and
- WHEREAS: The committee found the design, specifically of the rooftop addition, as proposed, to be inappropriate, to the Historic District, now
- THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED
THAT: Community Board #1 recommends that the Landmarks Preservation Commission not approve the application, specifically of the rooftop addition, as presented, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED
THAT:

CB #1 is fully in support of the remainder of the proposed work, apart from the rooftop addition, to restore and rehabilitate facades and storefronts.

98.res.may.98

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: MAY 19, 1998

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS

COMMITTEE VOTE: 3 IN FAVOR 0 OPPOSED 0 ABSTAINED
BOARD VOTE: 30 IN FAVOR 0 OPPOSED 0 ABSTAINED

RE: **412 Broadway, application to install new storefront and signage**

WHEREAS: The committee agreed that because the owners, applicants or their representatives did not show up at the committee meeting, that CB #1 recommend that LPC hold over all action on the application until the owner, applicant or their representatives appear before this Board, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED
THAT:

Community Board #1 recommends that LPC take no actions with regards to this application.

98.res.may.98

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: MAY 19, 1998

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS

COMMITTEE VOTE: 3 IN FAVOR 0 OPPOSED 0 ABSTAINED
BOARD VOTE: 30 IN FAVOR 0 OPPOSED 0 ABSTAINED

RE: **361 Greenwich Street, proposed addition of 200 square feet to existing penthouse**

WHEREAS: The committee found the design of the proposed one story extension of the facade, in plane with the existing facade, to be inappropriate to the Historic District, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 recommends that the Landmarks Preservation Commission not approve the application for the work as proposed.

98.res.may.98

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: MAY 19, 1998

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS

COMMITTEE VOTE: 3 IN FAVOR 0 OPPOSED 0 ABSTAINED
BOARD VOTE: 28 IN FAVOR 0 OPPOSED 0 ABSTAINED

RE: **25 N. Moore Street, addition of fenestration to floors 2-5 and residential conversion**

WHEREAS: The committee found the revised design, with fenestration on the lower floors, to be appropriate and an improvement over a previously approved design for fenestration at these floors, and

WHEREAS: The committee is also in support of the applicant's request that the Landmarks Preservation Commission issue a report to the City Planning Commission supporting a change to residential use on the 2nd through 5th floors of the building, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED
THAT:

Community Board #1 recommends that the Landmarks Preservation Commission approve the application as presented.

98.res.may.98

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: MAY 19, 1998

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS

COMMITTEE VOTE: 3 IN FAVOR 0 OPPOSED 0 ABSTAINED

BOARD VOTE: 30 IN FAVOR 0 OPPOSED 0 ABSTAINED

RE: **21-23 South William Street, application for a rooftop addition**

WHEREAS: The building is located in the small South William historic district at the end of a row of exceptional, intact 5-7 story structures each exhibiting a unique and detailed facade, and

WHEREAS: The building itself has an exceptional and unaltered facade, terminating in a steeply pitched slate roof with dormers. The building, and any rooftop addition, is highly visible from down South William Street, and

WHEREAS: The committee continues to feel that any addition to this unique building is problematic and potentially inappropriate. However, in support of the owners efforts to find a viable use for the building, we feel that the architect has been responsive to community concerns and has proposed a scaled down, two story stepped glass facade that works reasonably well with the style and character of the existing building, and

WHEREAS: The committee found that, if an addition to the building is to be approved at all, this design may be the most appropriate to the Historic District, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT:

Community Board #1 recommends that the Landmarks Preservation Commission review the application for the proposed work with the above comments in mind.

98.res.may.98

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: MAY 19, 1998

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS

COMMITTEE VOTE: 3 IN FAVOR 0 OPPOSED 0 ABSTAINED
BOARD VOTE: 30 IN FAVOR 0 OPPOSED 0 ABSTAINED

RE: **227-231 Water Street, amended application for 2 story
commercial addition to 4 story residential building**

WHEREAS: The committee found the design of this new infill building to be appropriate to the District in building massing and size and commended the architects for his manipulation of the fenestration and facade proportions, and

WHEREAS: The committee, however, was concerned with the selection of the clear anodized aluminum (flat silver) as the finish for the windows and storefront of the proposed building and would prefer a galvanized steel with a bit of texture as a more appropriate material for this district, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED
THAT:

Community Board #1 recommends that the Landmarks Preservation Commission approve the application if the above recommended changes are implemented.

98.res.may.98

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: MAY 19, 1998

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS

COMMITTEE VOTE: 3 IN FAVOR 0 OPPOSED 0 ABSTAINED
BOARD VOTE: 19 IN FAVOR 8 OPPOSED 1 ABSTAINED

RE: **241 Church Street**

WHEREAS: The committee found the proposed building to be of significant architectural character and important to the Historic District, and

WHEREAS: The committee found the intentions presented to restore and rehabilitate the facades and storefronts to be highly commendable and fully supports the proposed work, and

WHEREAS: The committee felt that the two story rooftop extension proposed would, due to the height of the building, be highly visible from many streets in the surrounding area, and

WHEREAS: The committee felt that, as a one story addition, the materials selected, and the fenestration proposed would be appropriate, and

WHEREAS: The committee found the design, specifically of the rooftop addition, as proposed, to be inappropriate, to the Historic District, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED
THAT:

Community Board #1 recommends that the Landmarks Preservation Commission not approve the application, specifically of the rooftop addition, as presented, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED
THAT:

CB #1 is fully in support of the remainder of the proposed work, apart from the rooftop addition, to restore and rehabilitate facades and storefronts.

98.res.may.98

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: MAY 19, 1998

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: ARTS, URBAN PLANNING & DESIGN

COMMITTEE VOTE: 4 IN FAVOR 0 OPPOSED 0 ABSTAINED

BOARD VOTE: 25 IN FAVOR 0 OPPOSED 0 ABSTAINED

RE: **Governors Island Legislation**

WHEREAS: Congressman Jerrold Nadler and Congresswoman Carolyn Maloney are sponsoring new legislation intended to establish an 11-member Commission to advise President Clinton on the future of Governors Island within a 180 day period, and

WHEREAS: There is concern that current efforts by the General Services Administration, the City and the State to determine the future of Governors Island have resulted in a virtual stalemate wherein there is little sign of progress towards arriving at an acceptable solution, and

WHEREAS: CB #1 feels that government officials must quickly take positive steps towards recapturing this vital resource and re-opening it to the public, and

WHEREAS: Governors Island is an extremely important national monument whose historic structures, attractive open spaces, and valuable facilities should be open and accessible to the widest possible population, and

WHEREAS: The federal government provides financial support and opens to the public other similar national historic park areas such as Fort McKinley in Baltimore, the Boston Harbor Islands and the Presidio in San Francisco, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 supports the proposed Governors Island Preservation and Development Act of 1998, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED
THAT:

CB #1 urges that any new Commission fully consider the Board's stated preference that Governor Island be made open and accessible to the widest possible number of people and that the superb recreation spaces and facilities be maintained and opened to the public to address serious open space shortages in Lower Manhattan and elsewhere, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED
THAT:

CB #1 urges Congress to provide this Commission with dedicated and adequate funding to insure it can perform its task and continue to provide maintenance funding for the Island until other revenue sources are agreed to, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED
THAT:

CB #1 urges that the Commission provide full disclosure of all information, data and documents it collects in the course of its work.

98.res.may.98

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: MAY 19, 1998

**COMMITTEES OF ORIGIN: ARTS, URBAN PLANNING & DESIGN,
LANDMARKS AND
SEAPORT/CIVIC CENTER**

COMMITTEE VOTE: 10 IN FAVOR 0 OPPOSED 0 ABSTAINED
BOARD VOTE: 27 IN FAVOR 1 OPPOSED 1 ABSTAINED

RE: **City Hall Park Restoration**

WHEREAS: CB #1 has made the restoration of City Hall Park among its highest budget priorities for many years, and

WHEREAS: The park is in serious need of repair and restoration, and

WHEREAS: The Mayor has allocated approximately \$13 million towards the restoration of City Hall Park in the new FY 1999 budget, and

WHEREAS: The preliminary design presented to Community Board offers images of a beautifully restored park replete with historic elements, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED
THAT:

Community Board #1 supports the specific design plans presented to the Board but requests that the following three changes be made:

- 1) The east-west paths south of City Hall are the most important paths in the park for pedestrians and these paths should be reconfigured and enlarged to reflect their place in the hierarchy of paths.
- 2) Additional greenery should be provided in the southern portion of City Hall Park.
- 3) Restore the Tweed Courthouse steps and enlarge City Hall Park along the Chambers Street side.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: MAY 19, 1998

From the Floor (By Bernard D'Orazio)

BOARD VOTE: 11 IN FAVOR 12 OPPOSED 3 ABSTAINED

RE: **Application for a sidewalk cafe for Radiante at 131 Duane Street**

BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 disapproves the sidewalk cafe application put forth for 131 Duane Street.

98.res.may.98